

ICPSR 8343

**Prohibition Movement in the United
States, 1801-1920**

Robert P. Sechrist

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/8343/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

PROCESSING NOTES FOR ICPSR #8343

Prohibition Movement in the United States, 1801-1920

- 1) **Data Edits:** Four blank spaces were deleted at the end of each record..

Prohibition Movement in the United States, 1801-1920

(ICPSR 8343)

Principal Investigator

Robert P. Sechrist

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

First ICPSR Edition, 1985

CODE BOOK FOR PROHIBITION IN THE UNITED STATES
1801 - 1920, BY COUNTY

<u>COL</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1-2	ICPSR State Code
3-6	ICPSR County Code
7	Ambiguity Check
8	Prohibition Status 1801
9	Prohibition Status 1802
10	Prohibition Status 1803
11	Prohibition Status 1804
12	Prohibition Status 1805
13	Prohibition Status 1806
14	Prohibition Status 1807
15	Prohibition Status 1808
16	Prohibition Status 1809
17	Prohibition Status 1810
18	Prohibition Status 1811
19	Prohibition Status 1812
20	Prohibition Status 1813
21	Prohibition Status 1814
22	Prohibition Status 1815
23	Prohibition Status 1816
24	Prohibition Status 1817
25	Prohibition Status 1818
26	Prohibition Status 1819
27	Prohibition Status 1820
28	Prohibition Status 1821
29	Prohibition Status 1822
30	Prohibition Status 1823
31	Prohibition Status 1824
32	Prohibition Status 1825
33	Prohibition Status 1826
34	Prohibition Status 1827
35	Prohibition Status 1828
36	Prohibition Status 1829
37	Prohibition Status 1830
38	Prohibition Status 1831
39	Prohibition Status 1832
40	Prohibition Status 1833
41	Prohibition Status 1834
42	Prohibition Status 1835
43	Prohibition Status 1836
44	Prohibition Status 1837
45	Prohibition Status 1838
46	Prohibition Status 1839
47	Prohibition Status 1840
48	Prohibition Status 1841
49	Prohibition Status 1842
50	Prohibition Status 1843
51	Prohibition Status 1844
52	Prohibition Status 1845

<u>COL</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
53	Prohibition Status 1846
54	Prohibition Status 1847
55	Prohibition Status 1848
56	Prohibition Status 1849
57	Prohibition Status 1850
58	Prohibition Status 1851
59	Prohibition Status 1852
60	Prohibition Status 1853
61	Prohibition Status 1854
62	Prohibition Status 1855
63	Prohibition Status 1856
64	Prohibition Status 1857
65	Prohibition Status 1858
66	Prohibition Status 1859
67	Prohibition Status 1860
68	Prohibition Status 1861
69	Prohibition Status 1862
70	Prohibition Status 1863
71	Prohibition Status 1864
72	Prohibition Status 1865
73	Prohibition Status 1866
74	Prohibition Status 1867
75	Prohibition Status 1868
76	Prohibition Status 1869
77	Prohibition Status 1870
78	Prohibition Status 1871
79	Prohibition Status 1872
80	Prohibition Status 1873
81	Prohibition Status 1874
82	Prohibition Status 1875
83	Prohibition Status 1876
84	Prohibition Status 1877
85	Prohibition Status 1878
86	Prohibition Status 1879
87	Prohibition Status 1880
88	Prohibition Status 1881
89	Prohibition Status 1882
90	Prohibition Status 1883
91	Prohibition Status 1884
92	Prohibition Status 1885
93	Prohibition Status 1886
94	Prohibition Status 1887
95	Prohibition Status 1888
96	Prohibition Status 1889
97	Prohibition Status 1890
98	Prohibition Status 1891
99	Prohibition Status 1892
100	Prohibition Status 1893
101	Prohibition Status 1894
102	Prohibition Status 1895
103	Prohibition Status 1896
104	Prohibition Status 1897
105	Prohibition Status 1898
106	Prohibition Status 1899

<u>COL</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
107	Prohibition Status 1900
108	Prohibition Status 1901
109	Prohibition Status 1902
110	Prohibition Status 1903
111	Prohibition Status 1904
112	Prohibition Status 1905
113	Prohibition Status 1906
114	Prohibition Status 1907
115	Prohibition Status 1908
116	Prohibition Status 1909
117	Prohibition Status 1910
118	Prohibition Status 1911
119	Prohibition Status 1912
120	Prohibition Status 1913
121	Prohibition Status 1914
122	Prohibition Status 1915
123	Prohibition Status 1916
124	Prohibition Status 1917
125	Prohibition Status 1918
126	Prohibition Status 1919
127	Prohibition Status 1920
128-131	Blank

Code Descriptors

Prohibition Status Codes range between '0' and '9'. A '0' indicates that no information indicating that the county was dry was obtained for that year. A '1' means that one source indicated the county dry in that year. A '2' means two sources, a '3' three sources, etc. A '9' indicates that the entire state which that county was part of was dry during the specified year. Blanks indicate that the county did not yet exist.

The ambiguity check is used to distinguish between counties with duplicate ICPSR codes. When two duplicate ICPSR codes are encountered, the first county, alphabetically, has an ambiguity check value of '1', the second '2', the third '3', etc. If the ICPSR code for the county is unique the ambiguity check value is '0'.

PROHIBITION BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, William H. 1906. The Church in Action Against the Saloon. Westerville, Ohio: Anti-Saloon League.
- Andreae, Percy. 1915. The Prohibition Movement. Chicago: Felix Mendelsohn.
- Andrews, Matthew Page. 1937. Virginia the Old Dominion. Garden City, N.J.: Doubleday and Doran.
- Anon. 1889. The Political Prohibitionist for 1889. New York: Funk and Wagnalls.
- Anon. 1898. Ten No-Licence Years in Cambridge, 1887-1897. Cambridge, Mass.: Cambridge University Press.
- Anon. 1904. Vermont Legislative Directory for 1904.
- Anon. 1906. Twelfth Biennial Report of the Bureau of Labor and Industrial Statistics, State of Wisconsin, 1905-1906. Madison: Democrat Printing Company.
- Anon. 1907. Iowa Official Register for the Years 1907-1908. Des Moines: Emory H. English.
- Anon. 1908. The prohibition tidal wave. Current Literature 44:347-50.
- Asbury, Herbert. 1929. Carry Nation. New York: Knopf.
- Asbury, Herbert. 1950. The Great Illusion. Garden City, N.J.: County Life Press.
- Association Against the Prohibition Amendment. 1932. Annual Report of the President. Washington, D.C.
- Bailey, Thomas Jefferson. c.1917. Prohibition in Mississippi. Jackson, Miss.: Hederman Bros.
- Barnes, Harry Elmer. 1932. Prohibition versus Civilization. New York: Viking Press.
- Beard, Mattie Duncan. 1962. The W.C.T.U. in the Volunteer State. Kingsport, Tenn.: Kingsport Press.
- Blakely, Leonard. 1912. Sale of Liquor in the South. Columbia University Studies of History, Economics and Public Law No. 127.
- Blocker, Jack S. 1972. The politics of reform: populists, prohibition, and women's suffrage, 1891-92. The Historian 34:614-32.

- Blocker, Jack S. 1976. Retreat from Reform: The Prohibition Movement in the United States, 1890-1913. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood.
- Blue, Frederick Omar. 1916. When a State Goes Dry. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Boyd, William. 1925. The Story of Durham. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press.
- Bradshaw, Henry C. 1955. The History of Prince Edward County, Virginia. Richmond, Va.: Dietz Press.
- Brown, L. Ames. 1915. Prohibition and Politics. North American Review 202:858-72.
- Bruere, Martha. 1927. Does Prohibition Work. New York: Harper & Bros.
- Campbell, Ballard C. 1977. Did democracy work? Prohibition in late nineteenth century Iowa: a test case. Journal of Interdisciplinary History 8:87-116.
- Canup, Charles E. 1909. The temperance movements and legislation in Indiana. Indiana Magazine of History 16: 1-37, 112-51.
- Caswell, John E. 1939. The prohibition movement in Oregon, part 2. Oregon History 40:64-82.
- Cherrington, Ernest Hurst., ed. 1908-1920a. Anti-Saloon League Yearbook. Ohio: American Issue Publishing. 13 Vols.
- Cherrington, Ernest Hurst. 1920b. Evolution of Prohibition in the United States of America. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Cherrington, Ernest Hurst. 1922. America and the World Liquor Problem. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Cherrington, Ernest Husrt. 1929. Standard Encyclopedia of the Alcohol Problem. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Chidsey, Donald Barr. 1969. On and Off the Wagon: A Sober Analysis of the Temperance Movement from Pilgrims through Prohibition. New York: Cowles Book.
- Clark, Dan Elbert. 1908. History of liquor legislation in Iowa. Iowa Journal of History and Politics 6:55-87, 339-74, 503-608.
- Clark, George Faber. 1888. History of the Temperance Reform in Massachusetts, 1813-1883. Boston: Clarke and Carruth.
- Clark, John Holley. 1933. The prohibition cycle. North American Review 235:413-19.

- Clark, Norman. 1965. The Dry Years: Prohibition and Social Change in Washington. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Colorado State Archives. Liquor License Application Forms #22500-26423. Denver: State of Colorado. 1908-1915.
- Columbia Law Review Notes. 1919. Historical analysis of federal liquor legislation. Columbia Law Review 1919:140-45.
- Colvin, D. Leigh. 1926. Prohibition in the United States: A History of the Prohibition Party and the Prohibition Movement. New York: George Doran.
- Cometti, Elizabeth and Festus Summers. 1966. The Thirty-fifth State. Parsons, West Virginia: McClain Printing.
- Corrigan, John. 1907. The prohibition wave in the South. American Monthly Review of Reviews 36:328-34.
- Daniels, W.H., ed. 1878. The Temperance Reform and its Great Reformers. New York: Nelson and Phillips.
- Debar, Joseph., ed. no date. Prohibition its Relation to Temperance, Good Morals and Sound Government. Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Dorchester, Daniel. 1884. The Liquor Problem in All Ages. New York: Phillips and Hunt.
- Dow, Neal. 1898. The Reminiscence of Neal Dow. Portland, Maine: Evening Express Publishing.
- Duniway, Abigail. 1971. Pathbreaking. New York: Kraus Reprint.
- Engleman, Larry. 1975. Dry renaissance: the local option years, 1889-1917. Michigan History 59:69-90.
- Engleman, Larry. 1979. Intemperance: The Lost War Against Liquor. New York: Free Press.
- Everhart, R.O. 1917. Prohibition when and why. Independent 89:378-79.
- Fehlandt, August F. 1904. A Century of Drink Reform in the United States. Cincinnati: Jennings and Graham.
- Fitzgibbons, John. 1918. King alcohol: his rise, reign and fall in Michigan. Michigan History 2:137-80.
- Frank, William. 28 February 1983. Marking repeal's anniversary. Dover (Del.) News Journal.
- Franklin, Jimmy Lewis. 1960. Born Sober: Prohibition in Oklahoma. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.

- Gallagher, Edward J. 1909. New Hampshire's no-license farce. Harpers Weekly 53:16-17.
- Gallaher, Ruth A. 1933. Liquor merry-go-round. The Palimpsest 14:213-32.
- Goodwin, T.A. 1883. Seventy-six Years Tussle with the Traffic. Indianapolis: Carlton and Hollenbeck.
- Gordon, Leslie., ed. 1932. The New Crusade. Cleveland: The Crusaders.
- Gould, Lewis L. 1973. Progressives and Prohibitions: Texas Democrats in the Wilson Era. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Griffin, Clarence W. 1977. The History of Old Tyron and Rutherford Counties, 1730-1936. Spartanburg, S.C.: Reprint.
- Gusfield, Joseph. 1963. Symbolic Crusade: Status Politics and the American Temperance Movement. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Hamilton, J.G. de Roulhac. 1919. The History of North Carolina Since 1860. Chicago: Lewis Publishing.
- Hastings, David. 1983 (March 29). Archives and Records Management Office, State of Washington. Personal Communication.
- Hohner, Robert Arthur. 1965. Prohibition and Virginia politics. Ph. D. dissertation, Duke University, Durham.
- Howie, Wendell D. 1933. Three hundred years of the liquor problem in Massachusetts. Massachusetts Law Quarterly 18:79-248.
- Hulbert, W.D. 1911. Experiences of a dry town. Outlook 98:594-95.
- Iglehart, Ferdinand Cowle. 1913. The Campaign Against the Saloon. American Review of Reviews 48:79-83.
- Iglehart, Ferdinand Cowle. 1917. King Alcohol Dethroned. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Indianapolis Star. 19 June 1909. Planning to make all Indiana dry, p. 12.
- Isaac, Paul. 1965. Prohibition and Politics: Turbulent Decades in Tennessee, 1885-1920. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press.
- Ivy, H.A. 1910. Rum on the Run in Texas. Dallas: Temperance Publishing.
- Johnson, Julia., ed. 1930. Selected Articles on Law Enforcement. New York: H.W. Wilson.

- Johnson, William E. 1917. Ten Years of Prohibition in Oklahoma. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Jutkins, A. J. 1885. Handbook of Prohibition. Chicago: Lever Print.
- Kobler, John. Ardent Spirits: The Rise and Fall of Prohibition. New York: Putnam.
- Krout, John A. 1925. Origins of Prohibition. N.Y.: Alfred Knopf.
- Leab, Grace. 1916. The temperance movement in Tennessee, 1860-1907. Master's thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- Lissner, Edward. 1907. Dry days in the South. Harpers Weekly 51:1057.
- Lovett, William P. 1916. Michigan Campaign Manual for a Dry State. Lansing: Michigan Dry Campaign Committee.
- Lyman, Henry H. 1989. The Second Annual Report of the State Commissioner of Excise of the State of New York. New York: Wynkoop, Hallenbeck, Crawford.
- Malone, Michael P. and Richard B. Roeder. 1976. Montana: A History of Two Centuries. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Marsh, John. 1866. Temperance Recollections. New York: Schribner.
- Marshall, Thomas K. 1915. The First Six Months of Prohibition in Arizona. Tucson, Arizona.
- Martin, Asa. 1925. The temperance movement in Pennsylvania prior to the Civil War. Pennsylvania Magazine of History and Biography 49:213-74.
- McCarthy, Jeanne Bozzell. 1980. The Struggle for Sobriety: Protestants and Prohibition in Texas, 1919-1935. El Paso: Texas Western Press.
- McMichael, Claude E. 1951. Geographical reconaissance of the area in Bienville and Webster Parishes, Louisiana. Masters thesis, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.
- Mennel, S. J. 1969. Prohibition a sociological view. Journal of American Studies 3:159-75.
- Monahan, M., ed. 1909. A Textbook of True Temperance. New York: United States Brewers Assoc.
- Moore, John Hammond. 1976. Ablemarle: Jefferson's County, 1727-1976. Charlottesville: University Press of Virginia.
- Leslies. 14 November 1914. Two banner prohibition states. Reprint. National Home Rule Association.

- National Temperance League. 1907. Proceedings of the Twelfth Convention.
- National Temperance League. 1913. Proceedings of the Fifteenth Convention.
- National Temperance League. 1915. Proceedings of the Sixteenth Convention.
- National Temperance Society. 1873. Liquor Laws of the United States: Prohibitory, License, Local Option, and Civil Damage Laws. New York: National Temperance Society and Publications House.
- National Wholesale Liquor Dealers Association of America. 1915-1918. The Anti-Prohibitionists Manual Cincinnati.
- Nelson, Margaret. 1968. Prohibition: a case study of societal misguidance. American Behavioral Scientist 12:34-43.
- Nicholson, S. E. 1908. Proceedings of the Fifteenth National Convention of the Anti-Saloon League of America. Richmond, Indiana: American Issue Publishing.
- Odegard, Peter H. 1928. Pressure Politics: The Story of the Anti-Saloon League. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Osborn, William. 1888. Liquor statutes in the United States. Harvard Law Review 2:125-38.
- Ostrander, Gilmer Marston. 1957. The Prohibition Movement in California, 1848-1933. Berkeley: Univ California Press.
- Pearson, C.C. and J. Edwin Hendricks. 1967. Liquor and Anti-liquor in Virginia, 1619-1919. Durham, N.C.:Duke University Press.
- Phillips, Clifton J. 1968. Indiana in transition: the emergence of an industrial commonwealth, 1880-1920. The History of Indiana. Vol 4. Indianapolis: Indiana Historical Bureau and the Indiana Historical Society.
- Pollock, Charles A. 1910. Manual of the Prohibition Law of North Dakota.
- Putnam, Edison Klein. 1979. The prohibition movement in Idaho, 1863-1934. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Idaho, Moscow.
- Raper, Arthur F. 1943. Tenants of the Almighty. New York: Macmillan.
- Reeder, Charles Wells. 1912. Select List of References on License of the Liquor Traffic in the United States. Bibliographies Prepared for the Constitutional Convention by the Library of the Ohio State University.

- Rickey, Harry Wynn. 1924. The prohibition movement in the South. Master's thesis, Tulane University, New Orleans.
- Robbins, J. B. 1909. No license in New Hampshire. Harpers Weekly 53:6.
- Robinson, William M. 1931. Prohibition in the Confederacy. American Historical Review 37:50-58.
- Roblyer, Leslie F. 1927. The road to state-wide prohibition in Tennessee, 1899-1909. Master's thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- Russell, Robert Hartsell. 1956. New Orleans and nation-wide prohibition as reflected in the Times-Picayune, 1918-1920. Master's thesis, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.
- Schafer, Joseph. 1925. Prohibition in early Wisconsin. Wisconsin Magazine of History 8:282-300.
- Scomp, Henry Anson. 1888. King Alcohol in the Realm of King Cotton. Atlanta: Blakely Printing.
- Sellers, James Benson. 1943. The Prohibition Movement in Alabama, 1702-1943. James Sprunt Studies in History, Vol. 26. Chapel Hill, N.C.
- Shadwell, Arthur. 1915. Drink, Temperance and Legislation. London: Longmans Green.
- Siglin, Daniel. 3 March 1983. Wyoming State Archives, Museums and Historical Dept. Personal Communication.
- Spears, Raymond S. 1909. Beating prohibition on the Mississippi. Harpers Weekly 53:27.
- Smith, Harold T. 1976. Prohibition in Nevada. Nevada Historical Society Quarterly 19:227-50.
- Stephens, T.E. 1901. Prohibition in Kansas. Topeka: Kansas Farmer.
- Stottard, Cora Frances. no date. Fifteen Years of the Drink Question in Massachusetts. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Stottard, Cora Frances. no date. Massachusetts Experience with Exempting Beer from Prohibition. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Stottard, Cora Frances. 1925. More Massachusetts Records and Prohibition. Westerville, Ohio: American Issue Publishing.
- Streeter, Floyd B. 1918. History of prohibition legislation in Michigan. Michigan History 2:296-307.

- Tilton, Elizabeth. 1917. Turning off the Spigot. The Surevy. Reprint. Survey Associates Inc.
- Tyrrell, Ian Robert. 1979. Sobering Up: from Temperance to Prohibition in Antebellum America, 1800-1860. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press.
- United States Brewers Association. 1896-1900. Proceedings for the Conventions of 1896-1900. Conventions Number 36-40. 5 Vols.
- United States Brewers Association. 1909. Proceedings for the 1909 Convention. Convention Number 49.
- United States Brewers Association. 1910-1916. United States Brewers Association Yearbook. 7 Vol.
- Wade, Richard C., ed. 1970. The Liquor Problem: a Summary of Investigations, 1893-1903. New York: Arno Press.
- Wakeley, J. B. 1875. The American Temperance Cyclopaedia of History, Biography, anecdote and Illustration. New York: National Temperance Society and Publications House.
- Walton, E. P., ed. 24 February, 1853. Vermont Watchman and State Journal. Montpelier, Vt.
- Ward, David. 1971. Cities and Immigrants. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Whitener, Daniel Jay. 1945. Prohibition in North Carolina, 1715-1945. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Wilson, Alonzo E., ed. 1901-1910. American Prohibition Yearbook. Vols. 1-10. Chicago: United Prohibitionists Press.
- Wines, Frederic H. and John Koran. 1889. The Liquor Problem in Its Legislative Aspects. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Woolley, John and William Johnson. 1903. The 19th Century: Temperance Progress of the Century. Philadelphia: Linscott Publishing.
- Young, Edward. 1982. The Governors of Virginia, 1860-1978. Charlottesville: University Press of Va.

ICPSR 08343

Prohibition Movement in the United States, 1801-1920

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Prohibition Movement in the United States, 1801-1920

ICPSRSTATE

ICPSR STATE CODE

Location:

1-2 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	CONNECTICUT	8	0.3 %
2	MAINE	16	0.5 %
3	MASSACHUSETTS	14	0.5 %
4	NEW HAMPSHIRE	10	0.3 %
5	RHODE ISLAND	5	0.2 %
6	VERMONT	14	0.5 %
11	DELAWARE	3	0.1 %
12	NEW JERSEY	21	0.7 %
13	NEW YORK	62	2.0 %
14	PENNSYLVANIA	67	2.2 %
21	ILLINOIS	102	3.4 %
22	INDIANA	90	3.0 %
23	MICHIGAN	83	2.7 %
24	OHIO	88	2.9 %
25	WISCONSIN	71	2.3 %
31	IOWA	99	3.3 %
32	KANSAS	105	3.5 %
33	MINNESOTA	86	2.8 %
34	MISSOURI	115	3.8 %
35	NEBRASKA	93	3.1 %
36	NORTH DAKOTA	53	1.8 %
37	SOUTH DAKOTA	66	2.2 %
40	VIRGINIA	93	3.1 %
41	ALABAMA	67	2.2 %
42	ARKANSAS	75	2.5 %
43	FLORIDA	54	1.8 %
44	GEORGIA	153	5.1 %
45	LOUISIANJA	64	2.1 %
46	MISSISSIPPI	82	2.7 %
47	NORTH CAROLINA	100	3.3 %
48	SOUTH CAROLINA	46	1.5 %
49	TEXAS	253	8.4 %
51	KENTUCKY	119	3.9 %
52	MARYLAND	24	0.8 %
53	OKLAHOMA	77	2.5 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
54	TENNESSEE	95	3.1 %
56	WEST VIRGINIA	55	1.8 %
61	ARIZONA	13	0.4 %
62	COLORADO	63	2.1 %
63	IDAHO	43	1.4 %
64	MONTANA	51	1.7 %
65	NEVADA	16	0.5 %
66	NEW MEXICO	29	1.0 %
67	UTAH	29	1.0 %
68	WYOMING	21	0.7 %
71	CALIFORNIA	58	1.9 %
72	OREGON	36	1.2 %
73	WASHINGTON	39	1.3 %

Based upon 3026 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 40.90
- Median: 43.00
- Mode: 49.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 73.00
- Standard Deviation: 15.67

ICPSRCNTY ICPSR COUNTY CODE

Location: 3-6 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character

Based upon 3026 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

AMBIGCHK AMBIGUITY CHECK

Location: 7-7 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	COUNTY CODE IS UNIQUE	2861	94.5 %
1	FIRST DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	78	2.6 %
2	SECOND DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	74	2.4 %
3	THIRD DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	8	0.3 %
4	FOURTH DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	2	0.1 %
5	FIFTH DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	1	0.0 %
6	SIXTH DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	1	0.0 %
7	SEVENTH DUPLICATE COUNTY CODE	1	0.0 %

- Study 08343 -

Based upon 3026 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

PROH1801 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1801

Location: 8-8 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	433	14.3 %
. (M)	-	2593	85.7 %

Based upon 433 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROH1802 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1802

Location: 9-9 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	438	14.5 %
. (M)	-	2588	85.5 %

Based upon 438 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROH1803 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1803

Location: 10-10 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

- Study 08343 -

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	455	15.0 %
. (M)	-	2571	85.0 %

Based upon 455 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROH1804 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1804

Location: 11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	464	15.3 %
. (M)	-	2562	84.7 %

Based upon 464 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROH1805 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1805

Location: 12-12 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	480	15.9 %
. (M)	-	2546	84.1 %

Based upon 480 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Study 08343 -

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROH1806 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1806

Location: 13-13 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	491	16.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
. (M)	-	2534	83.7 %

Based upon 492 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.05

PROH1807 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1807

Location: 14-14 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	518	17.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
. (M)	-	2507	82.8 %

Based upon 519 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.04

PROH1808 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1808

Location: 15-15 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	539	17.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
. (M)	-	2486	82.2 %

Based upon 540 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.04

PROH1809

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1809

Location: 16-16 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	552	18.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2471	81.7 %

Based upon 555 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1810

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1810

Location: 17-17 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	570	18.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2453	81.1 %

Based upon 573 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01

- Study 08343 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1811 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1811

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	579	19.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2445	80.8 %

Based upon 581 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1812 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1812

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	595	19.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2429	80.3 %

Based upon 597 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1813 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1813

Location: 20-20 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	607	20.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2417	79.9 %

Based upon 609 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1814

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1814

Location: 21-21 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	615	20.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2409	79.6 %

Based upon 617 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1815

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1815

Location: 22-22 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	626	20.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2398	79.2 %

Based upon 628 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00

- Study 08343 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1816 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1816

Location: 23-23 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	641	21.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2382	78.7 %

Based upon 644 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1817 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1817

Location: 24-24 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	655	21.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2369	78.3 %

Based upon 657 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1818 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1818

Location: 25-25 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	707	23.4 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2316	76.5 %

Based upon 710 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1819

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1819

Location: 26-26 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	736	24.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2288	75.6 %

Based upon 738 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.05

PROH1820

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1820

Location: 27-27 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	776	25.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2248	74.3 %

Based upon 778 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00

- Study 08343 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.05

PROH1821 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1821

Location: 28-28 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	819	27.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2204	72.8 %

Based upon 822 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1822 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1822

Location: 29-29 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	842	27.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	4	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2180	72.0 %

Based upon 846 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1823 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1823

Location: 30-30 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	872	28.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2151	71.1 %

Based upon 875 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.06

PROH1824

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1824

Location: 31-31 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	892	29.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	5	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2129	70.4 %

Based upon 897 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1825

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1825

Location: 32-32 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	917	30.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	5	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2104	69.5 %

Based upon 922 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01

- Study 08343 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1826 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1826

Location: 33-33 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	929	30.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	6	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2091	69.1 %

Based upon 935 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

PROH1827 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1827

Location: 34-34 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	950	31.4 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	6	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2070	68.4 %

Based upon 956 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

PROH1828 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1828

Location: 35-35 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	958	31.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	6	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2062	68.1 %

Based upon 964 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

PROH1829

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1829

Location: 36-36 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	984	32.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	5	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2037	67.3 %

Based upon 989 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1830

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1830

Location: 37-37 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	994	32.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	5	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2027	67.0 %

Based upon 999 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01

- Study 08343 -

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.07

PROH1831 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1831

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1019	33.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	6	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2001	66.1 %

Based upon 1025 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

PROH1832 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1832

Location: 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1046	34.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	7	0.2 %
. (M)	-	1973	65.2 %

Based upon 1053 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

PROH1833 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1833

Location: 40-40 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1082	35.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
. (M)	-	1932	63.8 %

Based upon 1094 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.17

PROH1834

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1834

Location:

41-41 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1091	36.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
. (M)	-	1925	63.6 %

Based upon 1101 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.12

PROH1835

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1835

Location:

42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1117	36.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	15	0.5 %
. (M)	-	1894	62.6 %

- Study 08343 -

Based upon 1132 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

PROH1836 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1836

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1201	39.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	16	0.5 %
. (M)	-	1809	59.8 %

Based upon 1217 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

PROH1837 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1837

Location: 44-44 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1241	41.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	16	0.5 %
. (M)	-	1769	58.5 %

Based upon 1257 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

- Study 08343 -

PROH1838 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1838

Location: 45-45 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1260	41.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1748	57.8 %

Based upon 1278 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.12

PROH1839 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1839

Location: 46-46 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1286	42.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1722	56.9 %

Based upon 1304 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.12

PROH1840 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1840

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1333	44.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1675	55.4 %

- Study 08343 -

Based upon 1351 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

PROH1841 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1841

Location: 48-48 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1365	45.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	17	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1644	54.3 %

Based upon 1382 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

PROH1842 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1842

Location: 49-49 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1386	45.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	19	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1621	53.6 %

Based upon 1405 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.12

- Study 08343 -

PROH1843 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1843

Location: 50-50 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1427	47.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1581	52.2 %

Based upon 1445 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

PROH1844 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1844

Location: 51-51 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1436	47.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	21	0.7 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	5	0.2 %
. (M)	-	1564	51.7 %

Based upon 1462 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.54

PROH1845 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1845

Location: 52-52 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1469	48.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	6	0.2 %
. (M)	-	1533	50.7 %

Based upon 1493 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.58

PROH1846

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1846

Location:

53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1516	50.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	21	0.7 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	6	0.2 %
. (M)	-	1483	49.0 %

Based upon 1543 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.57

PROH1847

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1847

Location:

54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1489	49.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	63	2.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
. (M)	-	1466	48.4 %

Based upon 1560 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Study 08343 -

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.67

PROH1848 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1848

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1512	50.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	63	2.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
. (M)	-	1443	47.7 %

Based upon 1583 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.09
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.66

PROH1849 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1849

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1572	51.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	19	0.6 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
. (M)	-	1427	47.2 %

Based upon 1599 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.06
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.64

- Study 08343 -

PROH1850 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1850

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1631	53.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	19	0.6 %
. (M)	-	1376	45.5 %

Based upon 1650 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.01
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.11

PROH1851 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1851

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1508	49.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	20	0.7 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	200	6.6 %
. (M)	-	1298	42.9 %

Based upon 1728 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.88

PROH1852 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1852

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1515	50.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	21	0.7 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	228	7.5 %
. (M)	-	1262	41.7 %

Based upon 1764 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.18
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.02

PROH1853

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1853

Location:

60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1550	51.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	236	7.8 %
. (M)	-	1232	40.7 %

Based upon 1794 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.19
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.04

PROH1854

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1854

Location:

61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1684	55.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	9	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	146	4.8 %
. (M)	-	1187	39.2 %

Based upon 1839 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Study 08343 -

- Mean: 0.72
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.43

PROH1855 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1855

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1327	43.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	11	0.4 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	574	19.0 %
. (M)	-	1114	36.8 %

Based upon 1912 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.71
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.12

PROH1856 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1856

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1582	52.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	379	12.5 %
. (M)	-	1055	34.9 %

Based upon 1971 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.74
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.55

- Study 08343 -

PROH1857 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1857

Location: 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1697	56.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	9	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	305	10.1 %
. (M)	-	1015	33.5 %

Based upon 2011 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.37
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.23

PROH1858 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1858

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1744	57.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	317	10.5 %
. (M)	-	955	31.6 %

Based upon 2071 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.38
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.24

PROH1859 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1859

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1754	58.0 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	318	10.5 %
. (M)	-	944	31.2 %

Based upon 2082 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.38
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.24

PROH1860

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1860

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1775	58.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	319	10.5 %
. (M)	-	922	30.5 %

Based upon 2104 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.37
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.23

PROH1861

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1861

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1814	59.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	321	10.6 %
. (M)	-	881	29.1 %

Based upon 2145 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Study 08343 -

- Mean: 1.35
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

PROH1862

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1862

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1840	60.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	321	10.6 %
. (M)	-	855	28.3 %

Based upon 2171 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.19

PROH1863

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1863

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1842	60.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	322	10.6 %
. (M)	-	852	28.2 %

Based upon 2174 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.20

- Study 08343 -

PROH1864 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1864

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1861	61.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	322	10.6 %
. (M)	-	833	27.5 %

Based upon 2193 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.33
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.18

PROH1865 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1865

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1875	62.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	322	10.6 %
. (M)	-	819	27.1 %

Based upon 2207 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.32
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.18

PROH1866 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1866

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1898	62.7 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	322	10.6 %
. (M)	-	796	26.3 %

Based upon 2230 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.30
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.16

PROH1867

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1867

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1869	61.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	11	0.4 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	396	13.1 %
. (M)	-	750	24.8 %

Based upon 2276 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.57
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.41

PROH1868

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1868

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1881	62.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	399	13.2 %
. (M)	-	736	24.3 %

Based upon 2290 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Study 08343 -

- Mean: 1.57
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.41

PROH1869

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1869

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1985	65.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	10	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	309	10.2 %
. (M)	-	722	23.9 %

Based upon 2304 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.07

PROH1870

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1870

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1994	65.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	13	0.4 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	322	10.6 %
. (M)	-	697	23.0 %

Based upon 2329 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.25
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.11

- Study 08343 -

PROH1871 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1871

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2024	66.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	11	0.4 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	322	10.6 %
. (M)	-	669	22.1 %

Based upon 2357 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.23
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.09

PROH1872 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1872

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2025	66.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	323	10.7 %
. (M)	-	660	21.8 %

Based upon 2366 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.24
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.09

PROH1873 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1873

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2109	69.7 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	17	0.6 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	323	10.7 %
. (M)	-	576	19.0 %

Based upon 2450 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.19
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.04

PROH1874 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1874

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2099	69.4 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	18	0.6 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	347	11.5 %
. (M)	-	562	18.6 %

Based upon 2464 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.27
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.13

PROH1875 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1875

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2155	71.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	22	0.7 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	309	10.2 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
. (M)	-	539	17.8 %

Based upon 2487 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.13
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.97

PROH1876

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1876

Location:

83-83 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2283	75.4 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	28	0.9 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	304	10.0 %
. (M)	-	411	13.6 %

Based upon 2615 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.06
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.88

PROH1877

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1877

Location:

84-84 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2257	74.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	67	2.2 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	304	10.0 %
. (M)	-	395	13.1 %

Based upon 2631 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Study 08343 -

- Mean: 1.07
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.87

PROH1878

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1878

Location: 85-85 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2300	76.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	31	1.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	304	10.0 %
. (M)	-	391	12.9 %

Based upon 2635 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.87

PROH1879

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1879

Location: 86-86 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2309	76.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	37	1.2 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	304	10.0 %
. (M)	-	376	12.4 %

Based upon 2650 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.87

- Study 08343 -

PROH1880 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1880

Location: 87-87 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2307	76.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	43	1.4 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	304	10.0 %
. (M)	-	372	12.3 %

Based upon 2654 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.86

PROH1881 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1881

Location: 88-88 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2213	73.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	56	1.9 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	402	13.3 %
. (M)	-	355	11.7 %

Based upon 2671 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.38
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

PROH1882 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1882

Location: 89-89 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2211	73.1 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	62	2.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	402	13.3 %
. (M)	-	351	11.6 %

Based upon 2675 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.38
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

PROH1883

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1883

Location: 90-90 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2231	73.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	78	2.6 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	404	13.4 %
. (M)	-	312	10.3 %

Based upon 2714 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.37
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.20

PROH1884

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1884

Location: 91-91 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2201	72.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	114	3.8 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	404	13.4 %
. (M)	-	307	10.1 %

- Study 08343 -

Based upon 2719 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.38
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.19

PROH1885 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1885

Location: 92-92 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2199	72.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	128	4.2 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	406	13.4 %
. (M)	-	285	9.4 %

Based upon 2741 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.39
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.18

PROH1886 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1886

Location: 93-93 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2201	72.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	130	4.3 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	412	13.6 %
. (M)	-	282	9.3 %

Based upon 2744 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.40
- Median: 0.00

- Study 08343 -

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.20

PROH1887 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1887

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2062	68.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	271	9.0 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	32	1.1 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	414	13.7 %
. (M)	-	246	8.1 %

Based upon 2780 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.46
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.17

PROH1888 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1888

Location: 95-95 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2113	69.8 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	206	6.8 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	7	0.2 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	467	15.4 %
. (M)	-	233	7.7 %

Based upon 2793 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.58
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.34

- Study 08343 -

PROH1889

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1889

Location: 96-96 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2195	72.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	98	3.2 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	523	17.3 %
. (M)	-	209	6.9 %

Based upon 2817 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.71
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.49

PROH1890

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1890

Location: 97-97 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2217	73.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	84	2.8 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	518	17.1 %
. (M)	-	205	6.8 %

Based upon 2821 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.68
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.48

PROH1891

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1891

Location: 98-98 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2215	73.2 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	92	3.0 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	518	17.1 %
. (M)	-	197	6.5 %

Based upon 2829 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.68
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.47

PROH1892

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1892

Location: 99-99 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2210	73.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	99	3.3 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
6	SIX SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	518	17.1 %
. (M)	-	196	6.5 %

Based upon 2830 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.69
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.47

PROH1893

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1893

Location: 100-100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2340	77.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	71	2.3 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	430	14.2 %
. (M)	-	184	6.1 %

Based upon 2842 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.39
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.22

PROH1894

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1894

Location:

101-101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2342	77.4 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	75	2.5 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	3	0.1 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	423	14.0 %
. (M)	-	182	6.0 %

Based upon 2844 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.37
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.20

PROH1895

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1895

Location:

102-102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2429	80.3 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	88	2.9 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	331	10.9 %
. (M)	-	177	5.8 %

Based upon 2849 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.88

PROH1896 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1896

Location: 103-103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2436	80.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	81	2.7 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	331	10.9 %
. (M)	-	176	5.8 %

Based upon 2850 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.88

PROH1897 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1897

Location: 104-104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2482	82.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	93	3.1 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %
. (M)	-	174	5.8 %

Based upon 2852 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.90
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.65

PROH1898 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1898

Location: 105-105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2484	82.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	93	3.1 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %
. (M)	-	173	5.7 %

Based upon 2853 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.90
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.65

PROH1899 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1899

Location: 106-106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2462	81.4 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	123	4.1 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %
. (M)	-	165	5.5 %

Based upon 2861 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.91
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.65

PROH1900

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1900

Location:

107-107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2424	80.1 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	153	5.1 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %
. (M)	-	165	5.5 %

Based upon 2861 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.93
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.65

PROH1901

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1901

Location:

108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2473	81.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	118	3.9 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
. (M)	-	159	5.3 %

Based upon 2867 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.91
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.65

PROH1902

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1902

Location: 109-109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2408	79.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	150	5.0 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	35	1.2 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %
. (M)	-	157	5.2 %

Based upon 2869 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.94
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.64

PROH1903

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1903

Location: 110-110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	2392	79.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	169	5.6 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	39	1.3 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	275	9.1 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
. (M)	-	150	5.0 %

Based upon 2876 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 0.95
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.64

PROH1904 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1904

Location: 111-111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1873	61.9 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	598	19.8 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	159	5.3 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	251	8.3 %
. (M)	-	142	4.7 %

Based upon 2884 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.50

PROH1905 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1905

Location: 112-112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1875	62.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	612	20.2 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	157	5.2 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	251	8.3 %
. (M)	-	129	4.3 %

Based upon 2897 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.50

PROH1906

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1906

Location: 113-113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1876	62.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	571	18.9 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	201	6.6 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	251	8.3 %
. (M)	-	126	4.2 %

Based upon 2900 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.50

PROH1907

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1907

Location: 114-114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1774	58.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	665	22.0 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	196	6.5 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	19	0.6 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
5	FIVE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	251	8.3 %
. (M)	-	119	3.9 %

Based upon 2907 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.16
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.49

PROH1908

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1908

Location:

115-115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1504	49.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	703	23.2 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	196	6.5 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	83	2.7 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	30	1.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	394	13.0 %
. (M)	-	116	3.8 %

Based upon 2910 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 1.72
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.99

PROH1909

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1909

Location:

116-116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1290	42.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	788	26.0 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	100	3.3 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	9	0.3 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	735	24.3 %
. (M)	-	103	3.4 %

Based upon 2923 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.61
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.74

PROH1910 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1910

Location: 117-117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1159	38.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	913	30.2 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	114	3.8 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	4	0.1 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	737	24.4 %
. (M)	-	97	3.2 %

Based upon 2929 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.71

PROH1911 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1911

Location: 118-118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1048	34.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1015	33.5 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	199	6.6 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	8	0.3 %
4	FOUR SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	680	22.5 %
. (M)	-	75	2.5 %

Based upon 2951 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.57

PROH1912 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1912

Location: 119-119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1109	36.6 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	626	20.7 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	479	15.8 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	72	2.4 %
5	FIVE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	672	22.2 %
. (M)	-	67	2.2 %

Based upon 2959 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.65
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.53

PROH1913 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1913

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1151	38.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1074	35.5 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	74	2.4 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
5	FIVE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	672	22.2 %
. (M)	-	52	1.7 %

Based upon 2974 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.45
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.58

PROH1914 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1914

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1219	40.3 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	925	30.6 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	72	2.4 %
5	FIVE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	767	25.3 %
. (M)	-	42	1.4 %

Based upon 2984 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 2.67
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.75

PROH1915 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1915

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	1135	37.5 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	860	28.4 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	70	2.3 %
3	THREE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	5	0.2 %
5	FIVE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	922	30.5 %
. (M)	-	33	1.1 %

Based upon 2993 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 3.11
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.96

PROH1916 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1916

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	930	30.7 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	714	23.6 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	51	1.7 %
5	FIVE SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	1	0.0 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	1300	43.0 %
. (M)	-	30	1.0 %

Based upon 2996 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 4.18
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.24

PROH1917 PROHIBITION STATUS, 1917

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	727	24.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	696	23.0 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	11	0.4 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	1576	52.1 %
. (M)	-	16	0.5 %

Based upon 3010 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 4.95
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.26

PROH1918

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1918

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NO INFORMATION THAT COUNTY WAS DRY	604	20.0 %
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	472	15.6 %
2	TWO SOURCES INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	4	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	1932	63.8 %
. (M)	-	14	0.5 %

Based upon 3012 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 5.93
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.11

PROH1919

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1919

Location: 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	3023	99.9 %

- Study 08343 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
. (M)	-	1	0.0 %

Based upon 3025 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 8.99
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.21

PROH1920

PROHIBITION STATUS, 1920

Location: 127-127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	ONE SOURCE INDICATED COUNTY WAS DRY	2	0.1 %
9	ENTIRE STATE WAS DRY	3022	99.9 %
. (M)	-	2	0.1 %

Based upon 3024 valid cases out of 3026 total cases.

- Mean: 8.99
- Median: 9.00
- Mode: 9.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.21